

# PAPELES DE TRABAJO

## 12/2022

How buyers' characteristics influence the clean vehicles market penetration? A case study of Spain

JENIFFER RUBIO

JOSÉ M. ARRANZ

MERCEDES BURGUILLO

University of Alcalá, Spain

).



## INDEX

*Abstract*

1. INTRODUCTION
  2. LITERATURE REVIEW
  3. DATABASE AND DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS
  4. ECONOMETRIC MODEL
  5. EMPIRICAL RESULTS
  6. CONCLUSIONES
- REFERENCES

## Abstract

This paper determines the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of Spanish households that use a clean car (electric or hybrid), with the aim of identifying how these characteristics influence the market penetration of these vehicles. This study is one of the few in the literature that analyses the characteristics of car users based on a broad sample of data, that is representative of 11.5 million Spanish households. The data used are from the Households Budget Survey of the Spanish National Institute of Statistics for the period 2016-2019. The results allow us to identify socioeconomic challenges and opportunities regarding the goal of replacing combustion vehicles with clean vehicles. We find as the main weakness the fact that high-income households (fourth quartile) are 29% more likely to use a clean vehicle than low-income households (first quartile). The strengths are related to features like the gender and age of the household's main breadwinner: households whose main breadwinner is a male are more likely to use clean vehicles than households whose main breadwinner is a woman, and households whose main breadwinner is over 55 or under 30 years of age are more likely to use one than households with main breadwinners from the rest of the population. These results provide guidelines for a better design of public policies aimed at decarbonizing the transport sector through the replacement of conventional vehicles by clean ones. To do so it is important to consider the features of the social reality in which that objective is to be achieved.

*Keywords:* Demand for Clean Cars; Households; Decarbonization; Transportation Policies; Agenda 2030; Logit Model.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Transport plays an important role in climate change as it is one of the main sectors of the economy that affect air pollution and carbon emissions; furthermore, it is the only economic sector that has not reversed the trend in these emissions (White and Sintov, 2017). Thus, the transport sector is a focal point of the sustainability strategies of the European Commission (Hackbarth and Madlener, 2013). The point is that, within the global energy transition strategy of the European economy - for which each of the member countries has its own plans - the transport sector is the most sensitive sector, that is, the one that presents the greatest barriers to such a change. This is due to the characteristics of this sector, which is a diffuse sector that depends on the decisions of millions of drivers, continues to rely massively on the combustion of petroleum derivatives, and because clean technology still must improve in terms of price and autonomy. Specifically, the strategy to achieve an energy transition in the sector consists of replacing combustion engine vehicles with other types of less polluting vehicles such as electric, hybrid, and LPG (liquefied petroleum gas) vehicles. These types of vehicles have gained increasing interest, both among producers and consumers (Li *et al.*, 2016). In addition, empirical evidence shows that these vehicles have the potential to reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions and improve air quality, see for example Cox *et al.* (2018) for Switzerland and Knobloch *et al.* (2020) for 59 world regions.

From a public policy perspective, mechanisms have been implemented to encourage and facilitate the replacement of combustion vehicles with clean vehicles. Numerous scientific works also show that different types of public policies are effective in encouraging this replacement. Thus, there are studies that show that financial incentive policies can stimulate sales of clean vehicles and therefore promote their diffusion, see, for example, Münzel *et al.* (2019) and Wang *et al.* (2019). Other works have emphasized the positive effect of relief policies involving the value added tax (VAT) and other types of taxes linked to the purchase of a vehicle, or price subsidies, on the purchase of clean vehicles, see, for example, Potoglou and Kanaroglou (2007), Bjerkan *et al.* (2016) and Levay *et al.* (2017). There are also works that point out the importance of developing electric charging infrastructures to encourage the purchase of clean vehicles, see for example Fang *et al.* (2020).

In this context, Spain, which is the country on which this research is focused, has implemented ambitious regulations with measures and incentives to improve air quality in cities, promote the acquisition of clean vehicles, as well as to expand the installation of electricity recharging points. Among these policies, the subsidy model that the government carries out consisted in giving economic aid for the acquisition of clean vehicles. Additionally, with the aim of improving the infrastructure, there are programs that finance the costs of installing charging points. There are also tax incentives such as the exemption from the Motor Vehicle Registration Tax (IMVA) that is carried out based on the CO<sub>2</sub> emissions of the vehicle. This incentive consists of not charging the tax for the cleanest vehicles and charging a higher rate for those vehicles with the highest CO<sub>2</sub>/km of emissions (Agencia Tributaria de España-AET, 2020). Another type of measure is the mandatory application of environmental labels on vehicles: these labels allow vehicles to be classified according to the levels of pollution that they emit and their environmental impact, and are mainly used to implement measures on circulation (allowing circulation at certain times, free parking, free

access to central areas for clean vehicles, among others), especially in the largest cities where the greatest problems related to air quality are concentrated, such as the city centres of Madrid and Barcelona. On the other hand, for the renewal of the stock of vehicles, a subsidy is granted for the purchase of clean vehicles if they are replacing a car more than 10 years old, Higuera-Castillo *et al.* (2020). These policies are similar to the measures applied in other EU countries (European Commission, 2021).

In any case, as Higuera-Castillo *et al.* (2020) emphasize, the implemented measures had have a limited effect on the extension of the use of clean vehicles. Indeed, Spain is still in the initial phase of adopting clean vehicles compared to other neighbouring countries. The European market for clean cars is led by the Nordic countries, with Norway standing out, where clean vehicles represent 42%, followed by the Netherlands, where 14% of the total fleet is composed of clean vehicles (ACEA, 2020). However, in Spain, clean cars represented only 2.7% of the total in 2020 (UNESPA, 2022). Hence, according to the Spanish energy transition plan, called the National Integrated Energy and Climate Plan 2021-2030 (Ministry for the Ecological Transition and the Demographic Challenge, 2020), the objective set for 2030 forecasts that clean vehicles in Spain will be 16% of the total. With current data it is practically impossible for Spain to achieve that goal. Thus, in 2021 the European Union called this to the attention of the Spanish government for this reason (Martín, 2021).

The case of Spain can also be illustrative for many countries. In fact, in many economies replacing combustion vehicles with more environmentally friendly alternatives is not easy; thus clean vehicles have not yet penetrated the market to a great extent (Hackbarth and Madlener, 2013). The acquisition of these cars is not increasing as expected despite the huge public subsidies to the demand and the technological advances of the industry (Ziegler and Abdelkafi, 2022). The point is that there are still many barriers for the general population to massively acquire this type of vehicle. Among the most commonly identified barriers are the low range of autonomy (Egbue and Long, 2012; Franke *et al.*, 2013; Schneidereit *et al.*, 2015), the high relative prices (Adepetu and Keshav, 2017; Vassileva and Campillo, 2017; Bienias *et al.*, 2020) and the lack of infrastructure, especially the paucity of recharging points (Egbue and Long, 2012). Regarding the last factor, in Spain in the second quarter of 2021, there were barely 11,847 recharging points, a figure that is far from the objective set for the year 2022 of having between 45,000 and 48,000 points available (ANFAC, 2021).

Therefore, despite the efforts of governments to stimulate purchases, there are still barriers linked to supply that make it difficult to replace combustion vehicles with clean vehicles (Egbue and Long, 2012) and (Higuera-Castillo *et al.*, 2020). But, in addition, there are also barriers linked to demand. Therefore, it is very important to know and characterize well all the aspects of both supply and demand that may constitute barriers to the acquisition of clean vehicles. In this sense, it is crucial to understand, among other issues, what are the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the buyers of this type of car.

Specifically, in this paper we are going to analyse the characteristics of Spanish households that have already purchased a clean vehicle in order to have a better understanding of the

socioeconomic profile of those who, despite the existing supply barriers -high relative price, low autonomy, and scarcity of recharging points- have had a preference for buying a clean car. This will make it possible to characterize the socioeconomic and demographic factors of that demand in order to identify which socioeconomic and demographic characteristics favour and which disfavour buying a clean vehicle. Therefore, we are going to analyse to what extent, taking the case of Spain as an example, the current society of Western countries presents pros and cons to achieve the objectives of the 2030 Agenda linked to the transport sector. To that end, having a better understanding of the socioeconomic and demographic situation that explains the demand for clean vehicles is very important. This is something that has not been sufficiently analysed in the literature, which has mainly focused on studying supply issues, or the influence of prices on demand, or the influence of incentives, as for example in Cecere *et al.* (2018). In fact, understanding the opportunities and challenges that the socioeconomic reality presents to achieving the decarbonization of the transport sector is crucial if we want to achieve the goals of the 2030 Agenda for climate action, sustainable cities and communities, and affordable and clean energy for all. If the transport sector fails to decarbonize, it will be difficult to achieve these goals. For this reason, more works are needed that analyse the situation in depth and from all aspects related to supply and demand. More academic results are needed, therefore, to design effective policies in order to achieve a better balance between the transport sector and the fight against climate change. In other words, a better understanding of the situation is needed to implement policies that are realistic in relation to the social context in which they are applied, the time horizon they contemplate, and that, thus, can be effectively applied. This work, through the analysis of the case of Spain, which is interesting due to the difficulties that the penetration of clean vehicles is having in that market, represents an excellent case of an analysis to contribute to this knowledge.

To carry out the proposed analysis, a binomial logit model will be estimated for the period 2016 to 2019 using data of the Household Budget Expenditure (HBS) from the Spanish National Institute of Statistics (INE). The survey contains annual information on the nature and destination of household consumption expenses; more specifically, we will use data of households that spend on electricity for vehicles, as a proxy for the use of clean cars (hybrid and electric) and on fuel for vehicles, as a proxy for the use of conventional cars. The results obtained will help to characterize the consumers for electric and hybrid cars and will allow conclusions about the socioeconomic circumstances that may be advantageous or disadvantageous when we face the energy transition in the household automotive transport sector, or in other words, when clean cars have to penetrate in the market. The present study contributes to the literature on this subject by providing an empirical study of the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of the consumers of clean vehicles. The results can be useful for designing transport policy measures that are realistic and effective, as at the moment such measures seem to be unsuccessful. One advantage that this work has, compared to most of those that have addressed this topic in the academic literature, is that it uses an official database from a large sample, representative of 11.5 households, while most of the work on the subject, is based, as Oliveira and Días (2019) point out, on their own surveys based on data from very small samples, which are therefore not very representative. Therefore, this work will provide representative results, in relation to the analysed issue, where such results are scarce.

This paper is structured as follows: in the next section the existing literature is reviewed. Section 3 presents the database used in the research, the HBS, and a descriptive analysis. Section 4 presents the estimated econometric model. Section 5 presents the results of the estimates, and the last section contains the conclusions of the study.

## 2. LITERATURE REVIEW

Over the last decade, all countries have implemented policies aimed at fighting air pollution in cities and fighting climate change by promoting the use of clean vehicles. For this reason, the interest in this area of research has expanded, see, for example, Oliveira and Días (2019) and Ziegler and Abdelkafi (2022). As for the works focused on analysing issues related to the demand for clean vehicles, some are focused on analysing the determinants of demand and others on analysing the specific characteristics of the buyers. In this study we will focus on the second issue. Therefore, the literature of interest for our research evaluates the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of consumers who buy clean vehicles. We present below a review of some of the most significant work carried out on this topic.

Liao *et al.* (2017) conducted a review of the literature focused on examining the factors that influence the consumption of clean vehicles. They showed that most studies worldwide show that socioeconomic, demographic, and psychological factors influence the decision to purchase a clean vehicle. The most widely applied method in this type of study is the analysis of discrete choice models in which the choice of clean vehicles is described as a choice between a group of vehicle alternatives described by their characteristics or "trade attributes".

Shabanpour *et al.* (2017) used a logit discrete choice model to estimate the characteristics of drivers choosing electric or gasoline vehicles in the United States using data from an own survey. They found that more educated drivers, younger drivers, and those who need to commute long-distances are more likely to choose electric vehicles.

Simsekoglu (2018), using a logistic multinomial regression, compared the characteristics of drivers of electric and conventional cars in Norway. Data were obtained from a survey of 663 drivers. The results showed that electric car owners were young, highly educated, and had a high-income level.

She *et al.* (2017), using a structural equation model, showed that, of the 476 respondents of their survey, the older ones had a more optimistic attitude towards electric and hybrid vehicles than the younger; in addition, the respondents with a second car in the family and those more concerned about the environment were more likely to adopt electric and hybrid vehicles.

Sovacool *et al.* (2018) showed, for the case of the Nordic countries (Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway and Sweden), and with data from their own survey of 5,000 respondents, that 60.2% of households that had an electric car also had a Main Breadwinner (MBW thereafter) who was single, while 85.6% of households owning an electric car had a size of four members.

Cirillo *et al.* (2017), using a discrete multinomial choice model, studied the case of the State of Maryland (United States) and, based on their own survey carried out over several years with 456 respondents, showed that women with a high level of education were the most likely to buy hybrid cars.

Cecere *et al.* (2018), using discrete choice models applied to the cases of France, Germany, Italy, Poland, Spain and the United Kingdom, and with data from a survey carried out by the European Commission Joint Research Centre in 2012 for 600 respondents in each country, obtained evidence that the intention to purchase an electric or hybrid vehicle is higher for people with higher incomes and more education.

Rodrigues *et al.* (2021), using a mixed logit model, showed, for the case of Portugal, and with data from their own survey of 905 drivers, that those who are most likely to choose a clean vehicle are younger people, with higher incomes, those who live in urban areas and those with higher levels of education.

Oliveira and Días (2019) made a compilation of the literature related to the characteristics of the consumers of clean vehicles, and they also estimated through a statistical analysis for the case of Portugal and based on their own survey of a sample of 105 people, that consumers with higher levels of education, women and larger families are more likely to purchase alternative fuel vehicles.

In the case of Spain, the literature that deals with this type of analysis is scarce; in fact, as far as we know, there is no study that, first, deals with the analysis of the socioeconomic characteristics of clean car buyers, understanding by clean, the electric and hybrids cars together. And second, use data from an official survey, with a very large sample, as we do in this study. However, we can highlight three works that analyse the demand for exclusively electric vehicles. Among the demand factors analysed in these works, some are socioeconomic characteristics of the buyers, and all are based on data obtained from their own surveys. Thus, Higuera-Castillo *et al.* (2020) analysed, through a cluster analysis, the profile of potential buyers of electric vehicles in Spain based on the data obtained in a survey of 404 respondents. They found that this profile would be defined by being a woman, being young and having a high income. Junquera *et al.* (2016) estimated a logit model with data from a survey of 1,245 respondents, to determine what factors influence the willingness to pay for an electric car, the only variable linked to the characteristics of consumers that was analysed is age: they found that those most likely to buy an electric car are between 24 and 45 years old. Rodríguez-Brito *et al.* (2018), focusing on the case of the Island of Tenerife (Spain), used data from a survey of 444 drivers and applied an analysis of principal components, clusters and a logit model to determine what factors influence the willingness to change cars for an electric one and in the willingness to pay for an electric car. Regarding socioeconomic characteristics, they found that being a man, being older, having a high income and high educational level are characteristics that determine a greater preference for electric cars than the rest of the population.

In summary, the literature seems conclusive in the positive relations between having a high level of income and other related variables, such as high educational level and household size, and buying a clean car. For other variables, such as age and gender, the literature is not conclusive. Table 1 presents a summary of these studies, indicating the case analysed, the method used in the study, and the variables analysed.



**Table 1**  
**LITERATURE ABOUT THE CHARACTERISTICS OF CLEAN CAR BUYERS**

Author	Country	Methodology	Age		Income		Labor situation	Gender		Education		Urban areas	Household size (members (children))
			Young	Old	lower	Higher	Employed	Woman	Man	Low	High		
Cirillo <i>et al.</i> (2017)	United States	Multinomial Logit model	X					X			X		
Shabanpour <i>et al.</i> (2017)	United States	Mixed Logit Model	X			X					X		
Cecere <i>et al.</i> (2018)	France Germany, Spain, Italy, Poland, UK	Multinomial Logit model	X			X					X		X
Simsekoglu (2018)	Norway	Logit model	X			X	X				X		X
Sovacool <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Nordic Countries	Static analysis and hypothesis proves	X				X		X		X		X
Wolbertus <i>et al.</i> (2018)	Netherlands	Mixed Logit Model	X			X	X						
Junquera <i>et al.</i> (2016)	Spain	Logit Model	X	X									
Higueras-Castillo <i>et al.</i> (2020)	Spain	Conglomerate analysis	X			X		X			X		
Rodríguez-Brito (2018)	Spain	Multinomial Logit model				X			X		X		
Oliveira y Días (2019)	Portugal	Static analysis and hypothesis proves		X				X			X		X
Rodrigues <i>et al.</i> (2021)	Portugal	Mixed Logit Model	X			X					X	X	
She <i>et al.</i> (2017)	China	Structural equations		X		X							X

### 3. DATABASE AND DESCRIPTIVE ANALYSIS

The database used in this research is the Households Budget Survey (HBS) designed by the Spanish National Institute of Statics (INE). The HBS contains annual information on the nature and destination of consumption expenses of households in Spain. This survey is conducted with a representative annual sample of approximately 24,000 households that represent 18.5 million households each year. The information is presented in three files that collect information on households, household members and the expenditures they make. The survey provides socioeconomic data on households and members at the national level and at the level of the Spanish Regions. Additionally, it enables the analysis of expenditure and its distribution through 4 nomenclatures, and 12 consumption groups are distinguished. It is important to note that there are several studies in the literature that, although they differ in method, use consumer spending surveys to analyse the behaviour of transport users, for example, Burguillo *et al.* (2017), Arranz *et al.* (2019; 2022).

In our study we use the information on households that spend on automotive fuel (gasoline and diesel) as a proxy for households that have a conventional car, and on those households that spend on electricity for vehicles as a proxy for ownership of electric and hybrid cars. The information covers the period from 2016 to 2019 for the 17 Spanish Regions and the two autonomous cities of Ceuta and Melilla. Each year the sample has about 13,000 households (representative of around 11.5 million households), and the total number of observations for the 4 years of the analysis is 53,730.

Table 2 shows descriptive information about the distribution of households that spend on automotive fuel and those that spend on electricity for vehicles. As can be seen in the entire period, 94.6% of the households spent on automotive fuel, and 5.4% of them spent on electricity for hybrid or electric vehicles. In other words, we observe a very low proportion of households using clean cars in the Spanish vehicle market.

Table 2

HOUSEHOLDS SPENDING ON FUEL OR ELECTRICITY FOR VEHICLES – EPF YEAR 2016-2019

YEARS	2016		2017		2018		2019		2016-2019	
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Households spending on fuel for vehicles	13,209	95.5%	12,752	92.3%	12,802	96.1%	12,088	94.8%	50,851	94.6%
Households spending on electricity for vehicles	617	4.5%	1,070	7.7%	523	3.9%	669	5.2%	2,879	5.4%
Total households using cars	13,826	100%	13,822	100%	13,325	100%	12,757	100%	53,730	100%

Table 3 presents the descriptive statistics of the variables analysed in this work. The households that are most likely to spend on electricity for vehicles have a BMW male (70.7%), older than 55 years (44.6%), married (87.3%), employed (68,2%) and with higher education (34.4%), located in Andalusia (19.1%), with an average size of 3.2 members and on average 1.3 of their members are employed. In addition, 31.1% of these households are in the fourth quartile of income level and 77.8% among them are households located in urban areas. On the other hand, the profile of households that spend on fuel has a similar profile at the personal, work and family size characteristics. However, they differ in the income profile where those households in the first quartile are the ones that spend the most on fuel cars (32.9%) and at a regional level this expense is more distributed: not only in Andalusia, where around 11% of these households are located, but also in regions such as Catalonia, Madrid and Valencia, with around 8%-9%.

Table 3

**DESCRIPTIVE STATISTICS OF HOUSEHOLDS THAT SPEND ON FUEL AND/OR ELECTRICITY FOR VEHICLES.  
EPF - YEAR 2016-2019. SPAIN**

Variable	Households that spend on fuel		Households that spend on electricity	
	Observations	Mean	Observations	Mean
<b>Gender MBW (Male=1)</b>	37,402	0.736	2,035	0.707
<b>MBW age (in years)</b>				
18 to 30	1,132	0.022	60	0.021
30 to 45	13,342	0.262	655	0.228
45 to 54	12,426	0.244	771	0.268
older 55	22,459	0.442	1,284	0.446
<b>Marital status MBW (Single =1)</b>	8,515	0.167	366	0.127
<b>Level of studies completed MBW</b>				
Primary	7,285	0.143	465	0.162
Secondary I stage	15,089	0.297	874	0.304
Secondary stage II	9,816	0.193	549	0.191
Higher Education (University)	18,661	0.367	991	0.344
<b>Situation labor MBW (Occupied =1)</b>	34,349	0.675	1963	0.682
<b>Number of household members</b>	50,851	2,931	2,879	3,243
<b>Number of employed members in the household</b>	50,851	1,246	2,879	1,325
<b>Number of children in the household</b>	50,851	0.735	2,879	0.876
<b>Income home (proxy spending)</b>				
Income Quartile 1	12,750	0.329	683	0.237
Income Quartile 2	12,821	0.251	611	0.212
Income Quartile 3	12,744	0.250	689	0.239
Income Quartile 4	12,536	0.246	896	0.311

<b>Household residence area (Rural=1)</b>	12,684	0.249	638	0.222
<b>Region of residence</b>				
<i>Andalusia</i>	5,830	0.115	549	0.191
<i>Aragon</i>	2,252	0.044	28	0.010
<i>Asturias</i>	1,815	0.036	44	0.015
<i>Balearic Islands</i>	1,834	0.036	144	0.050
<i>Canary Islands</i>	2,473	0.049	210	0.073
<i>Cantabria</i>	1,714	0.034	116	0.040
<i>Castile and Leon</i>	3,056	0.060	100	0.035
<i>Castile-La Mancha</i>	2,945	0.058	116	0.040
<i>Catalonia</i>	4,385	0.086	190	0.066
<i>Valencian region</i>	4,133	0.081	fifty	0.017
<i>Extremadura</i>	2,252	0.044	210	0.073
<i>Galicia</i>	3,118	0.061	190	0.066
<i>Madrid region</i>	4,395	0.086	183	0.064
<i>Murcia</i>	2,395	0.047	232	0.081
<i>Navarre</i>	1,618	0.032	105	0.036
<i>Basque Country</i>	4,593	0.090	268	0.093
<i>La Rioja</i>	1,517	0.030	97	0.034
<i>Ceuta</i>	230	0.005	28	0.010
<i>Melilla</i>	296	0.006	19	0.007
<b>Sample (Households)</b>	<b>50,851</b>		<b>2,879</b>	

Source: INE,2022.

#### 4. ECONOMETRIC MODEL

The information in the previous section allows us to know the characteristics of the distribution of Spanish households that spend on energy to use fuel or clean vehicles according to independent characteristics, but it does not consider all the factors that influence the probability of spending on energy together, for the use of electric or hybrid vehicles, since these households can also spend on fuel. In order to isolate the effect of the different determinants on these probabilities, it is necessary to carry out the estimation of an econometric model that makes it possible to explain the probability that households spend on electric or fuel vehicles, considering both personal, work, income or household size  $X_{it}$ . With this objective, we have proceeded to estimate the unconditional probability  $p_{it}$  that a Spanish household spends on electricity for a vehicle, subject to a set of characteristics  $X_{it}$  in period  $t$ :

$$p_{it} = f(\beta_1 + \beta_2 + X_{it}) \quad (1)$$

The calculation of this probability is carried out by estimating a binomial logit model, in which the endogenous variable ( $Y$ ) takes two values: 0 and 1. In our analysis, 1 is assigned to the people who spend on electricity for a vehicle and 0 to those who spend on automotive fuel. Formally, the logit model is

$$Y = F(\beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{it}) + \varepsilon = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{it})}} + \varepsilon \quad (2)$$

where the probability that a household spends on electricity for a vehicle in period  $t$  is

$$p_{it} = E(Y = 1|X_{it}) = \frac{1}{1 + e^{-(\beta_1 + \beta_2 X_{it})}} \quad (3)$$

The estimates have been made for all the years from 2016 to 2019 using the maximum likelihood method. The estimated models include a set of explanatory variables such as age, gender, marital status, level of studies completed and employment status of the MBW, residential area of the household, number of employed members of the household, number of children in the household, income per household and Region of residence.

## 5. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

Table 4 provides the results of the estimates of the probability that a household spends on electricity for its vehicle versus on fuel, for the entire sample of households. In the table, the odds ratios and the coefficients of each category are shown. If the odds ratio has a value lower (higher) than 1, this indicates that the household is less (more) likely to spend on electricity for its vehicle, compared to the reference category (spending on fuel for your vehicle).

Table 4

## ESTIMATION OF THE PROBABILITY THAT A HOUSEHOLD SPENDS ON ELECTRICITY FOR VEHICLES VERSUS SPENDING ON AUTOMOTIVE FUEL

variables	Coefficients	Odss Ratio
<b>MBW gender</b>		
Men	0.035*** (0.008)	1.035*** (0.008)
<b>MBW age groups (in years)</b>		
Age between 18 - 30	0.216 (0.143)	1.241 (0.177)
Age between 30 - 44	-	-
Age between 45 - 54	0.140** (0.054)	1.150** (0.061)
Age over 55	0.255*** (0.057)	1.291*** (0.074)
<b>MBW Marital Status</b>		
single	-0.201*** (0.064)	0.818*** (0.052)
<b>Number of employed members</b>	0.080*** (0.025)	1.083*** (0.026)
<b>Number of children</b>	0.131*** (0.023)	1.140*** (0.026)
<b>Income</b>		
Quartile 1	-0.233*** (0.059)	0.791*** (0.046)
Quartile 2	-0.346*** (0.057)	0.707*** (0.040)
Quartile 3	-0.236*** (0.053)	0.790*** (0.042)
Quartile 4	-	-
<b>Zone</b>		
Rural zone	-0.108** (0.049)	0.897*** (0.044)
<b>Region</b>		
Andalusia	-	-
Aragon	-2.023*** (0.196)	0.132*** (0.025)
Asturias	-1,330*** (0.159)	0.264*** (0.042)

<i>Balearic Islands, Illes</i>	-0.197** (0.098)	0.821** (0.080)
<i>Canary Islands</i>	-0.087 (0.085)	0.917 (0.078)
<i>Cantabria</i>	-0.325*** (0.107)	0.722*** 0.077
<i>Castile and Leon</i>	-1.041*** (0.112)	0.353*** (0.039)
<i>Castilla la Mancha</i>	-0.849*** (0.105)	0.427*** (0.045)
<i>Catalonia</i>	-0.814*** (0.087)	0.443*** (0.038)
<i>Community Valencian</i>	-2.057*** (0.149)	0.127*** (0.019)
<i>Extremadura</i>	0.053 (0.087)	1.054 (0.091)
<i>Galicia</i>	-0.419 (0.088)	0.657*** (0.057)
<i>Madrid region</i>	-0.879*** (0.089)	0.415*** (0.036)
<i>Murcia</i>	-0.004 (0.083)	0.990 (0.082)
<i>Navarre</i>	-0.401*** (0.112)	0.669*** (0.074)
<i>Basque Country</i>	-0.528*** (0.078)	0.589*** (0.046)
<i>The Rioja</i>	-0.369*** (0.115)	0.691*** (0.079)
<i>Ceuta</i>	0.137 (0.206)	1.146 (0.236)
<i>Melilla</i>	-0.473** (0.242)	0.623*** (0.150)
<i>Constant</i>	-2.551 (0.092)	0.077 (0.007)

i) Standard errors are presented in parentheses, ii) Significance level: \*\*\*p < 0.01; \*\*p < 0.05; \*p < 0.1,

The results of Table 4 show that the households with a higher probability of spending on clean vehicles compared to conventional vehicles are the following:

Regarding gender, the probability that a household whose MBW is a man uses a clean vehicle increases by 35% compared to the probability that a household whose MBW is a woman. This result coincides with the analysis of Rodríguez-Brito *et al.* (2018) of the case of Tenerife. In any case, the literature is not conclusive regarding the gender, as was seen in Section 2.

The age of the MBW of the household has effects on the probability of using a clean car. In fact, the effect shows a U-shaped relation, that is, households with the youngest and oldest MBWs have a greater probability of acquiring a clean vehicle than the rest of the households. Thus, the probability that a household uses a clean vehicle is greater when the MBW is older than 55, or younger than 30. This probability compared to the probability that a household whose MBW is between 30 and 44 years old increases by 29% in the first case and 24% in the second case. In addition, that probability is 15% if the MBW is between 30-44 years old. This result agrees with other works in the literature such as that of Sovacool *et al.* (2018) which shows that in the Nordic countries older people and recently retired people drive short distances, have high car budgets and are less interested in design, and Simsekoglu (2018), finding, for Norway, that the youngest are those with the highest probability of buying a clean car.

Regarding marital status, the probability that a household whose MBW is single uses a clean vehicle decreases by 19% compared to the probability that a household whose MBW is married uses it. This result agrees with others in the literature, which explain that, among single people, who generally do not have children, there may be less concern for the environment and for the future (Büchs *et al.*, 2013).

Table 4 also shows that income level is a socioeconomic factor that positively influences the acquisition of clean vehicles. Thus, the probability that low-income households (quartile 1) and medium income (quartile 3) use a clean vehicle decreases by 21% compared to high-income households (quartile 4), and the probability of low-middle income households (quartile 2) decreases by 30%. The existing literature shows that income tends to have a positive influence on the adoption of clean vehicles, and it is also in relation to this factor that the literature, as pointed out in Section 2, seems to be more conclusive. For works focused on Spain, Rodríguez-Brito *et al.* (2018) and Higuera-Castillo *et al.* (2020) obtained similar results: they explain that the reason why higher incomes and the purchase of clean cars are positively related is that consumers with higher incomes can more easily bear the higher costs derived from the adoption of ecological products and produced with more advanced technology, see for example, Straughan and Roberts (1999), Tellis *et al.* (2009), and Lennon *et al.* (2007). Also, there are works that emphasize that high-income consumers are more likely to be aware of environmental issues, as for example, Straughan and Roberts (1999). Regarding the results by quartiles, these data would agree with those obtained by Burguillo *et al.* (2017) that show that Spanish households from the second quartile are more dependent on the use of fuel vehicles. This would explain why their probability of using a clean vehicle decreases more with respect to households in the richest quartile, as does also the probabilities of the 1st and 3rd quartile households.

The probability that a household with a greater number of its members employed uses a clean vehicle increases by 8.3% with respect to households that have fewer employed members. This



result is common in the literature. For example, Morton *et al.* (2017) find that the people that are most likely to be early adopters of electric vehicles are employed full-time workers, and Gough *et al.* (2011) find that unemployment is negatively associated with carbon emissions.

The probability that a household with a greater number of children uses a clean vehicle increases by 14% compared to the probability of households with fewer children. The explanation is that, first it seems that families with children are more willing to pay more for environmental products due to their concerns about the negative impact of a destroyed environment on their children's future (Laroche *et al.*, 2001). And second, it seems that for the safety of household members, larger households appear to have more cars and are willing to pay more for them (Sovacool *et al.* 2018). On the other hand, the probability that a household located in a rural area uses a clean vehicle decreases by 10% compared to the probability that a household located in an urban area uses it. This result also agrees with other results in the literature, for example, Rodrigues *et al.* (2021) for the case of Portugal. This is logical result because in rural areas it is usually necessary to make longer and more frequent trips in private vehicles, and the problem of the autonomy of electric vehicles and the absence of the advantages that hybrids can have in urban areas (due to lower consumption of fuel) are lost in the rural context.

Finally, in relation to the results by region, we have taken Andalusia as the reference region. Thus, as can be seen in Table 4, households living in all the other regions have a lower probability of using a clean vehicle than a household in Andalusia. The regions in which the probability of using a clean vehicle decreases more compared to the probability of using it in Andalusia, are the Valencian Community and Aragón, whose probabilities decrease by 88% and 87% respectively. As for Madrid and Catalonia, the probability that clean vehicles are used there compared to Andalusia decreases by 59% and 56%, respectively.

## 6. CONCLUSIONS

Widespread adoption of clean vehicles can help alleviate problems like air pollution and global warming. Therefore, achieving the gradual replacement of the automobile fleet based on the combustion of petroleum derivatives for a cleaner one is very important in order to achieve three of the objectives of the 2030 agenda: climate action, sustainable cities and communities, and affordable and clean energy for all. Hence, the economic and environmental policies of developed and developing countries are focused on achieving an energy transition in the automotive sector, promoting the penetration of clean vehicles in the market to the detriment of combustion vehicles that currently lead the market throughout the world. However, in many countries the market for clean vehicles is not increasing as expected despite public subsidies and incentives, and awareness policies such as advertising campaigns, among others, that encourage their purchase. Spain is an excellent example of that situation.

Thus, in Spain, clean vehicles represented just 2.7% of the total number of vehicles in the country in 2020, and between 2016 and 2019 only 5.4% of households using cars used a clean one. The situation at that time suggests that it will be very difficult to reach the objective set for 2030, which

is to achieve a percentage of 16% of clean vehicles. This is the status quo, despite the fact that there is currently an ambitious project in Spain to achieve the energy transition of the economy. This project considers a series of measures and incentives to improve air quality in cities, promote the acquisition of clean vehicles and expand the installation of electricity recharging points for vehicles. It is true that in this last aspect the achievements have been insufficient, and the Spanish government should redouble efforts to improve the situation, as the shortage of recharging points is a significant barrier when it comes to boosting the market.

In any case, given the importance that the transport sector has in the economy as a whole, and in energy consumption in particular, achieving the decarbonization of transport is crucial if the aforementioned objectives are to be achieved by 2030. Going deeper into the knowledge of the factors that, beyond those linked to public policies, or to relative prices, explain the purchase of clean vehicles, is necessary in order to have a better understanding of the challenges and opportunities that today's society presents to adapting to the changes that the achievement of the objectives of the 2030 Agenda requires. With this purpose in mind, this paper has presented an analysis of the socioeconomic and demographic characteristics of those households that are more likely to purchase a clean vehicle in Spain. To carry out this analysis, a binomial logit model has been estimated with data from the Households Budget Survey of the Spanish National Institute of Statistics for the years 2016 to 2019, which contains annual information on the nature and destination of household consumption expenditures.

The results of this study show that the households that are most likely to spend on automotive electricity, that is, to use a clean car, are those whose socioeconomic characteristics are the following: households with a main breadwinner (MBW) over 55 years of age or under 30, who is male, and who is married; households with a high level of income, living in urban areas, with a greater number of members employed (the average is 1.3 employed members), with a greater number of children, and who live in Andalusia. On the contrary, households whose MBW is between 31 and 44 years old, is female, is single, is a medium-low-income household (second quartile), located in a rural area, with a lower number of employed members, with a lower number of children and who live in Aragon or Valencia are less likely to have an electric or hybrid car. These results are consistent with others found in the literature analysed for various countries. These results are conclusive in the variables linked to income level, while for others, such as age or gender, there is controversy. One advantage of this study, compared to most studies in the literature, is that it uses data from an official survey for a very large sample of households, while most of the studies are based on data from surveys with relatively small samples. From these results, conclusions can be drawn about the opportunities and challenges that some of them present to achieving the decarbonization of the sector by 2030 in Spain. For example, the fact that older age is a positive socioeconomic characteristic for buying a clean car can be a help for the decarbonization of the transport sector since the number of older people in Western societies is on the rise, and so there will be a greater proportion of the population favourably disposed to buying clean vehicles. In addition, it is also favourable that the youngest, whose behaviour defines the trend of global behaviour in the future, are also likely to purchase this type of vehicle. Another positive factor could be linked to the fact that men seem more likely to use clean cars, for households with male MBW

are also those with more combustion vehicles, specifically in 73.6% of households using combustion vehicles the MBW is a man (see Table 3). It is important that the largest car buyers, who according to these data are men, are also the ones who are buying to a greater extent clean cars. This fact marks a positive opportunity for the development of the clean vehicle market, since it indicates that men, who seem to have a greater preference for cars than women, also have a preference for clean vehicles. In any case, the greatest challenge outlined by these results comes from the positive relation found between the level of income and other correlated variables, and the use of clean vehicles. Indeed, from the results of this work it can be deduced that no matter how many economic incentives are given to acquire clean vehicles, in the end, as is the case in Spain, having a high level of income is key to acquiring them. This result shows that the 2030 Agenda objective of climate action, in whose achievement the transport sector plays a fundamental role due to its dynamics of GHG emissions, can be opposed to the objective of achieving affordable and clean energy for all in 2030. In this regard, and while the automobile industry is improving technology, allowing greater autonomy of vehicles and a lower price in relation to traditional combustion vehicles, policy makers in the different countries, even continuing to implement policies both for the supply (installation of charging points) and demand (economic incentives for acquisition) sides, must have a better understanding of the socioeconomic reality in which they want to implement certain measures. These measures should be coherent with each other and feasible in the time frame in which they are proposed. This work, applied to the case of Spain, is a good example, to illustrate the opportunities and challenges that the social context presents to decarbonizing the transport sector. To sum up, this research provides useful information to policy makers so that they consider results such as these for designing realistic policies adapted to the social environment that allow a harmonious and socially acceptable balance between the transport sector and the fight against climate change. In this sense, it could also be useful to link the amount of the subsidy offered for the acquisition of a clean vehicle to the buyer's income level. In addition, the time frame for achieving the desired objectives should be redefined.

## References

- ACEA (2020): *European Automobile Manufacturers' Association. New passenger car registrations by fuel type in the European Union*. Available at <https://www.acea.be>.
- ADEPETU, A., and KESHAV, S. (2017): "The relative importance of price and driving range on electric vehicle adoption: Los Angeles case study", *Transportation*, 44(2), 353-373.
- AGENCIA TRIBUTARIA DE ESPAÑA-AET. (2020): *Estadísticas por impuesto: Impuesto de Matriculación de Vehículos Automóviles*. Available at: [https://sede.agenciatributaria.gob.es/Sede/datosabiertos/catalogo/hacienda/Estadistica\\_del\\_Impuesto\\_sobre\\_Matriculacion\\_de\\_Vehiculos\\_Automoviles.shtml](https://sede.agenciatributaria.gob.es/Sede/datosabiertos/catalogo/hacienda/Estadistica_del_Impuesto_sobre_Matriculacion_de_Vehiculos_Automoviles.shtml)
- ANFAC (2021): Spanish Association of Automobile and Truck Manufacturers. *ANFAC Barometer of Electromovilidad second semester 2021*. Available at: <https://anfac.com/wp-content/uploads/2021/09/Barometro-Electromovilidad-2T-2021.pdf>
- ARRANZ, J. M.; BURGUILLO, M., and RUBIO, J. (2019): "Subsidization of public transport fares for the young: An impact evaluation analysis for the Madrid Metropolitan Area", *Transportation Policy*, 74, 84-92.

- ARRANZ, J. M.; BURGUILLO, M., and RUBIO, J. (2022): "Are public transport policies influencing the transport behavior of older people and economic equity) A case study of the Madrid Region?", *Research in Transportation Economics*, (in press), <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.retrec.2022.101218>
- BIENIAS, K.; KOWALSKA-PYZALSKA, A., and RAMSEY, D. (2020): "What do people think about electric vehicles? An initial study of the opinions of car purchasers in Poland", *Energy Reports*, 6, 267-273.
- BJERKAN, K. Y.; NORBECH, T. E., and NORDTOMME, M. E. (2016): "Incentives for promoting battery electric vehicle (BEV) adoption in Norway. Transportation Research Part D", *Transport and Environment*, 43, 169-180.
- BÜCHS, M., and SCHNEPF, S. V. (2013): "Who emits most? Associations between socio-economic factors and UK households' home energy, transport, indirect and total CO2 emissions", *Ecological Economics*, 90, 114-123. *Ecological Economics*, 90, 114-123.
- BURGUILLO, M.; DEL RÍO, P., and ROMERO-JORDÁN, D. (2017): "Car use behavior of Spanish households: Differences for quartile income groups and transport policy implications", *Case Studies on Transport Policy*, 5(1), 150-158.
- CECERE, G.; CORROCHER, N., and GUERZONI, M. (2018): "Price or performance? A probabilistic choice Analysis of the intention to buy electric vehicles in European countries", *Energy policy*, 118, 19-32.
- CIRILLO, C.; LIU, Y., and MANESS, M. (2017): "A time-dependent stated preference approach to measuring vehicle type preferences and market elasticity of conventional and green vehicles", *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 100, 294-310.
- COX, B.; MUTEL, C.L., BAUER, C., MENDOZA BELTRAN, A., and VAN VUUREN, D. P. (2018): "Uncertain environmental footprint of current and future battery electric vehicles", *Environmental Science and Technology*, 52(8), 4989-4995.
- EGBUE, O., and LONG, S. (2012): "Barriers to widespread adoption of electric vehicles: An analysis of consumer attitudes and perceptions", *Energy policy*, 48, 717-729.
- EUROPEAN COMMISSION. TRANSPORT EMISSIONS (2021). Available online: [https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/api/files/attachment/869813/EGD\\_brochure\\_ES.pdf.pdf](https://ec.europa.eu/commission/presscorner/api/files/attachment/869813/EGD_brochure_ES.pdf.pdf)
- FANG, Y.; WEI, W.; MEI, S.; CHEN, L.; ZHANG, X., and HUANG, S. (2020): "Promoting electric vehicle charging infrastructure considering policy incentives and user preferences: An evolutionary game model in a small-world network". *Journal of cleaner production*, 258, 120753.
- FRANKE, T., and KREMS, J. F. (2013): "Interacting with limited mobility resources: Psychological range levels in electric vehicle use", *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 48, 109-122.
- GOUGH, I., and MEADOWCROFT, J. (2011): *Decarbonizing the welfare state* (pp. 490-503). Oxford University Press.
- HACKBARTH, A., and MADLENER, R. (2013): "Consumer preferences for alternative fuel vehicles: A discrete choice analysis". *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 25, 5-17.
- HIGUERAS -CASTILLO, E.; MOLINILLO, S.; COCA - STEFANIAK, J A., and LIEBANA-CABANILLAS, F. (2020): "Potential Early Adopters of Hybrid and Electric Vehicles in Spain—Towards a Customer Profile", *Sustainability*, 12(11), 4345.
- INE- INSTITUTO NACIONAL DE ESTADÍSTICAS (2022): *Encuesta de Presupuestos Familiares*. Available at: [https://www.ine.es/prensa/epf\\_prensa.htm](https://www.ine.es/prensa/epf_prensa.htm)

- JUNQUERA, B.; MORENO, B., and ÁLVAREZ, R. (2016): "Analyzing consumer attitudes towards electric vehicle purchasing intentions in Spain: Technological limitations and vehicle confidence", *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 109, 6-14
- KNOBLOCH, F.; HANSSSEN, S. V.; LAM, A.; POLLITT, H.; SALAS, P.; CHEWPREECHA, U., and MERCURE, J. F. (2020): "Net emission reductions from electric cars and heat pumps in 59 world regions over time", *Nature Sustainability*, 3 (6), 437-447.
- LAROCHE, M.; BERGERON, J., and BARBARO-FORLEO, G. (2001): "Targeting consumers who are willing to pay more for environmentally friendly products", *Journal of consumer marketing*, 18 (6), 503-520.
- LENNON, S. J.; KIM, M.; JOHNSON, K. K.; JOLLY, L. D.; DAMHORST, M. L., and JASPER, C. R. (2007): "A longitudinal look at rural consumer adoption of online shopping", *Psychology and Marketing*, 24(4), 375-401.
- LEVAY, P. Z.; DROSSINOS, Y., and THIEL, C. (2017): "The effect of fiscal incentives on market penetration of electric vehicles: A pairwise comparison of total cost of ownership", *Energy Policy*, 105, 524-533.
- LI, J.; ZHAO, X., CHO, M. J., JU, W., and MALLE, B. F. (2016): "From trolley to autonomous vehicle: Perceptions of responsibility and moral norms in traffic accidents with self-driving cars", *SAE Technical paper*, 10, 2016-01.
- LIAO, F.; MOLIN, E., and VAN WEE, B. (2017): "Consumer preferences for electric vehicles: A literature review", *Transport Reviews*, 37(3), 252-275.
- MARTÍN, E. (2021): "En España no está impulsando lo suficiente el coche eléctrico, y Europa da un primer aviso. Motorpasion". Available at: <https://www.motorpasion.com/futuro-movimiento/europa-da-tiron-orejas-a-espana-no-impulsar-coche-electrico-podria-haber-sanciones>
- MINISTRY FOR THE ECOLOGICAL TRANSITION AND THE DEMOGRAPHIC CHALLENGE (2020): "National Integrated Energy and Climate Plan, 2021-2030. Madrid". Available at: <https://www.miteco.gob.es/es/prensa/pniec.aspx>.
- MORTON, C.; ANABLE, J., and NELSON, J. D. (2017): "Consumer structure in the emerging market for electric vehicles: Identifying market segments using cluster analysis", *International Journal of Sustainable Transportation*, 11(6), 443-459.
- MÜNDEL, C.; PLÖTZ, P.; SPREI, F., and GNANN, T. (2019): "How large is the effect of financial incentives on electric vehicle sales? – A global review and European analysis", *Energy Economics*, 84, 104493.
- OLIVEIRA, G.D., and DÍAS, L. C. (2019): "Influence of demographics on consumer preferences for alternative fuel vehicles: a review of choice modeling studies and a study in Portugal", *Energies*, 12(2), 318.
- POTOGLOU, D., and KANAROGLOU, P. S. (2007): "Household demand and willingness to pay for clean vehicles", *Transportation Research Part D: Transport and Environment*, 12(4), 264-274.
- RODRIGUES, R.; MOURA, F.; SILVA, A. B., and SECO, Á. (2021): "The determinants of Portuguese preference for vehicle automation: A descriptive and explanatory study", *Transportation research part F: traffic psychology and behaviour*, 76, 121-138.
- RODRÍGUEZ-BRITO, M. G.; RAMÍREZ-DÍAZ, A. J.; RAMOS-REAL, F. J., and PEREZ, Y. (2018): "Psychosocial traits characterizing EV adopters' profiles: the case of Tenerife (Canary Islands)", *Sustainability*, 10(6), 2053
- SCHNEIDERIT, T.; FRANKE, T.; GÜNTHER, M., and KREMS, J. F. (2015): "Does range matter? Exploring perceptions of electric vehicles with and without a range extender among potential early adopters in Germany", *Energy Research and Social Science*, 8, 198-206.

- SHABANPOUR, R.; MOUSAVI, S. N. D.; GOLSHANI, N.; AULD, J., and MOHAMMADIAN, A. (2017): "Consumer preferences of electric and automated vehicles". In *2017 5th IEEE International Conference on Models and Technologies for Intelligent Transportation Systems (MT-ITS)* (pp. 716-720). IEEE.
- SHE, Z. Y.; SUN, Q.; MA, J. J., and XIE, B. C. (2017): "What are the barriers to widespread adoption of battery electric vehicles? A survey of public perception in Tianjin, China", *Transport Policy*, 56, 29-40.
- SIMSEKOGLU, Ö. (2018): "Socio-demographic characteristics, psychological factors and knowledge related to electric car use: A comparison between electric and conventional car drivers", *Transport Policy*, 72, 180-186.
- SOVACOO, B. K.; KESTER, J.; NOEL, L., and DE RUBENS, G. Z. (2018): "The demographics of decarbonizing transport: The influence of gender, education, occupation, age, and household size on electric mobility preferences in the Nordic region", *Global Environmental Change*, 52, 86-100.
- STRAUGHAN, R. D., and ROBERTS, J. A. (1999): "Environmental segmentation alternatives: a look at green consumer behavior in the new millennium", *Journal of consumer marketing*, 16(6), 558-575.
- TELLIS, G. J.; YIN, E., and BELL, S. (2009): "Global consumer innovativeness: Cross-country differences and demographic commonalities", *Journal of International Marketing*, 17(2), 1-22.
- UNESPA (2022): - Unión Española de Entidades Aseguradoras y Reaseguradoras. Notas de prensa. Available at: <https://www.unespa.es/notasdeprensa/automovil-tipos-motor-2020/>
- VASSILEVA, I., and CAMPILLO, J. (2017): "Adoption barriers for electric vehicles: Experiences from early adopters in Sweden", *Energy*, 120, 632-641.
- WANG, N.; TANG, L., and PAN, H. (2019): "A global comparison and assessment of incentive policy on electric vehicle promotion", *Sustainable Cities and Society*, 44, 597-603.
- WHITE, L. V., and SINTOV, N. D. (2017): "You are what you drive: Environmentalist and social innovator symbolism drives electric vehicle adoption intentions", *Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice*, 99 94-113.
- WOLBERTUS, R.; KROESEN, M., VAN DEN HOED, R., and CHORUS, C. (2018): "Fully charged: An empirical study into the factors that influence connection times at EV-charging stations", *EnergyPolicy*, 123, 1-7.
- ZIEGLER, D., and ABDELKAFI, N. (2022): "Business models for electric vehicles: Literature review and key insights", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 330, 129803.